Ø1003/006

ICH/FUJI, et al., Serial No. 10/773,307 22 March 2007 Statement Responsive to 22 March 2007 Examiner Interview 500.35360CX2 / E3190-07E\$ Page 2

## **REMARKS**

This paper is responsive to any paper(s) indicated above, and is responsive in any other manner indicated below.

## EXAMINER INTERVIEW ACKNOWLEDGED AND STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE

This paper is responsive to the telephonic examiner interview conducted 22 March 2007, by and between assigned Examiner T. Tran, and attorney Paul J. Skwierawski, in the present application. Further to the above, any foregoing amendments may include amendments discussed during, or resultant from, the examiner interview, and the following includes a reiteration of discussions/arguments had during the examiner interview.

## NON-STATUTORY DBL PAT. REJECT. - TERMINAL DISCLAIMER FILED

The provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection set forth by the Examiner within the aforementioned examiner interview is respectfully traversed. However, in order to travel a path of least resistance to obtaining a patent for the present application, submitted herewith is an executed Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the non-statutory double patenting rejection. As a result of the foregoing, reconsideration and withdrawal of the double patenting rejection of the subject claims are respectfully requested. The above statements, or the filing of any Terminal Disclaimer, should not be taken as an indication or admission that the rejection was valid, but is merely use of a procedural approach to obtain a patent (without prejudice or disclaimer) as quickly as possible given that the present

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Ø1004/008

MAR 2 2 2007

500.35360CX2 / E3190-07ES Page 3

ICHIFUJI, et al., Serial No. 10/773,307 22 March 2007 Statement Responsive to 22 March 2007 Examiner Interview

application's patent may have coextensive term anyways as measured from the same original filing date, regardless of the Terminal Disclaimer. Further discussions/arguments concerning such rejection(s), claims and/or reference are left for the future if/when appropriate.

As a final point, it is respectfully submitted as a reminder that, if new art is now cited against any of Applicant's unamended claims, then it would not be proper to make a next action final.

## CONCLUSION

To the extent necessary, applicants petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136. Please charge any shortage in the fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to the deposit account of Antonelli, Terry, Stout & Kraus, LLP, Deposit Account No. 01-2135 (Case No. 500.35360CX2), and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP

Paul J. Śkwierawski

Registration No. 32,173

Tel.: 703-312-6600

PJS/slk